Volume 1

Pages 1 - 172

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES DONATO

IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST LITIGATION) No. C 14-3264 JD)
)
) No. MD 17-2801 JD
IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST)
LITIGATION (No. III)) San Francisco, California
) Monday
) November 29, 2020
) 9:00 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Direct JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC.

Purchaser Plaintiffs: 601 California Street

Suite 1000

San Francisco, California 94108

BY: JOSEPH R. SAVERI, ESQ.

STEVEN NOEL WILLIAMS, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER K.L. YOUNG, ESQ.

ANUPAMA K. REDDY, ESQ.

For Defendant PAUL WEISS RIFKIND WHARTON & GARRISON

United Chemi-Con: 2001 K Street NW

Washington, DC 20006

BY: FARRAH R. BERSE, ESQ.

JOSEPH J. BIAL, ESQ. LEAH HIBBLER, ESQ.

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

Official Reporter - US District Court Computerized Transcription By Eclipse APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

KAUFHOLD GASKIN GALLAGHER LLP For Defendant

United Chemi-Con: 485 Pacific Avenue

San Francisco, California 94133

BY: STEVEN KAUFHOLD, ESQ.

For Defendant PAUL WEISS RIFKIND WHARTON & GARRISON

Nippon Chemi-Con: 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019

BY: ROBERTO FINZI, ESQ.

For Defendant MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

For Defendant MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
Matsuo Electric Co.: 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

BY: DAVID D. CROSS, ESQ.

MARY KAISER, ESQ.

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105

BY: BONNIE LAU, ESQ.

BRITTANY NGO, ESQ. MARGARET WEBB, ESQ.

Your Honor, may I proceed?

THE COURT: Yes.

So this will be our opening statements by the attorneys. Go ahead, Mr. Saveri.

OPENING STATEMENT

MR. SAVERI: Late in the afternoon on February 4th, 2003 Norio Kasuga exited the Shinagawa train station in Tokyo, Japan. Mr. Kasuga worked for Rubycon, a company that sold capacitors in the United States.

Mr. Kasuga and his boss Mr. Yamada had taken the train to Tokyo from its offices of Rubycon slightly outside Tokyo. They walked a few blocks to the Toko Hotel. The Toko Hotel is a non-descript hotel tucked away on a busy side street near the train station. It's the kind of hotel without a lobby or a restaurant. It's a non-descript place no one really pays attention to who comes and goes.

Mr. Kasuga went into the hotel and was directed to a conference room. There he met a number of Rubycon's competitors: Chemi-Con, Nichicon, ELNA, Sanyo, and Fujitsu. This was another price fixing meeting like the ones that had been going on for a number of years.

Mr. Kasuga had been told by his boss about a meeting that his boss Mr. Miyashita had attended a few days earlier in which Mr. Miyashita, who was one of the Rubycon executives chiefly responsible for overseas sales, including sales to the United

States, had met with other capacitor manufacturers. And there at that meeting they had agreed to fix prices, including prices to American customers, like HP and Intel, as well as manufacturers that supplied American companies like Apple.

Mr. Kasuga was instructed to go to the meeting and execute the plan consistent with this understanding, and that's what he did. The meeting lasted two hours, and at the end of the meeting the members had agreed on specific steps to execute the plan.

Now, some version of that meeting and these communications happened dozens, if not hundreds or thousands, of times during the period from 2001 to 2013. I don't have time today and I'm not going to have time during the trial to go through each one of these meetings.

This case is about a conspiracy that lasted 12 years involving 22 companies and hundreds of people. For over 12 years the defendants illegally conspired to reduce, eliminate, and prevent competition for capacitors.

Capacitors are electronic components that go into virtually every single electronic device; smartphones, televisions, you name it. Capacitors are everywhere around us all the time.

Whenever it was possible, the defendants agreed with each other to raise prices or to stop prices from going down. And when the market was forcing prices down, they agreed to work

together to slow that down as much as possible. In so doing, the defendants broke the law and caused the plaintiffs, my clients, to pay higher prices than they should have.

Good afternoon. My name is Joseph Saveri, and I represent the plaintiffs in this case and I, along with my team, have the honor of representing eIQ, Chip-Tech, and the plaintiff class. The plaintiff class includes large and small purchasers of capacitors in the United States.

You will learn during the trial that the defendants agreed with one another to increase capacitor prices they charged to customers in the United States. They conspired to charge more for the products than they would have been able to charge if they had not conspired. The conspiracy lasted from 2002 to 2013. It lasted 12 years.

We will prove that the defendants knew what they were doing was wrong and tried to conceal and hide their wrongdoing.

The plaintiffs are the purchasers of capacitors. They are American companies who all paid more than they would have if the defendants had acted legally.

You will meet two, Mr. Gene Krzywinski and Mr. Barry
Lubman. Mr. Krzywinski has a business based in San Jose.
Mr. Lubman runs a family electronics business in Brooklyn,
New York. Mr. Lubman has a brother, who he cares for, when he
started Chip-Tech many years ago. I know Mr. Lubman was very
worried about coming across the country to this trial, but he

thought coming to testify in this case was important and a
privilege.

Who are the defendants? The defendants are the companies that manufactured and sold capacitors to purchasers in the United States. They are American and Japanese companies doing business in the United States and breaking the United States laws.

Some of the defendants are based in the United States and owned by foreign companies. Some of the defendants are based in Japan. They own and operate their business throughout the world, including China, Singapore, Japan, and other locations. Some of the defendants operate plants in Europe or in Mexico, but all of them did business in the United States. So capacitors in the United States are governed by the United States antitrust laws.

Virtually none of the executives who are involved and who broke the law will be here to provide any explanation for their wrongdoing. They will not come.

In this trial you're going to be asked if there was a conspiracy involving 22 companies and whether the defendants here were part of that conspiracy.

In the next few weeks we will prove to you that there was a conspiracy, that the conspiracy had the purpose and effect of raising prices for capacitors; that it lasted for several years and it included various types of capacitors, and that the

conspiracy injured the plaintiffs.

How did the defendants go about conspiring? There were regularly scheduled monthly meetings. At the monthly meetings, the conspirators tried to reach a consensus about raising prices when they could, maintaining prices as much as possible, or to team up against their customers to prevent prices from going down. This, you will learn, is how they avoided competition. This is how they conspired.

Now, the monthly meetings were regular and they were well organized. The companies would all fill out forms containing highly sensitive and confidential business information provided in advance of the meetings, including their recent sales figures and their future plans for selling capacitors.

The meetings at times would also address strategies for dealing with particular customers, like Apple or HP or Intel or Sony, and how to keep their prices high.

Who actually went to these meetings? Now, the defendant companies are large organizations with bosses and executives and managers who make the most important decisions for the companies, but they also include lower-level salespeople and other employees to work in the offices all over the world, including in California. These employees acted like lieutenants. Now, companies act through these people, and both senior and junior meetings [sic] went to the meetings and did the things necessary to further the conspiracy's goals.

In this case, as you learned and as you were instructed, there were numerous guilty pleas where the defendants pleaded guilty to criminal violations of the United States antitrust laws. That's criminal liability. It does nothing to compensate the victims. That's up to you.

In each guilty plea the defendants stood in criminal court and admitted they committed acts in furtherance of the conspiracy, acts that occurred here in the Northern District of California, where we are today; that there was a capacitor price fixing conspiracy and that each participated in that conspiracy.

These guilty pleas mean that they were charged with a federal crime, they admitted their guilt, and paid a fine to the U.S. Government.

Two of the executives involved, including one who is an employee of the defendants sitting here today, also pled guilty to criminal price fixing charges and went to prison.

A number of the other individuals involved fled from the United States and refused to come to the United States to answer for their wrongdoing. That is still true today, and you will see it in this trial.

But here in this case you will be asked to determine their responsibility and the responsibility for the damages that they caused to purchasers in the United States.

Next slide please.

I just showed you which companies and which people admitted to price fixing. This shows you the companies that participated in the 12-year conspiracy.

In this case, two of the corporate organizations that participated in the conspiracy are defendants. Those are the two in the middle of the screen. Those companies are Nippon Chemi-Con, together with its U.S. entity United Chemi-Con, and Matsuo. They, along with the other conspirators, participated in the conspiracy.

But we start from the basic fact, which you can see from the records of the criminal case, that there was a conspiracy here, and that ten companies we sued have admitted they were involved in the conspiracy.

What was the goal of this agreement to fix prices?

Instead of competing with one another, the understanding was that they would coordinate with each other so that they would not compete. They wanted to raise prices when they could.

And what happened when their customers asked for lower prices or when the economy went down? Instead of competing with one another, which would have lowered prices, they agreed to refuse lower prices and agreed, a recent understanding, to raise prices or to keep the prices the same, at the same level. And they agreed that they would conceal it, and if asked, gave up -- give made-up stories or rationales for what they were doing.

How did the plaintiffs suffer because of the conspiracy? The plaintiffs are the purchasers of capacitors from the defendants. They paid too much. How much? Because of the company's price fixing, the class members paid \$427 million more than they should have, more than they would have paid if the defendants did not break the law and fix prices.

Now, defendants sold more than \$5 billion in capacitors over 12 years. And if we compare what the customers would have paid without the conspiracy and what they did pay because of the conspiracy, the difference, the difference is \$427 million.

We're here to ask you to make the defendants give the class members their money back. We're asking you to do that by awarding the class a total of \$427 million. That is why we're here in the civil case, to refund the class members the money that they were overcharged.

Before we go any further, let me explain what a capacitor is. Capacitors are electronic components that are in every electronic device. They hold an electronic charge. So they have been part of electrical circuitry for at least 100 years. All electronics today have electric circuits and all electric circuits have capacitors.

Now, electricity runs through these circuits and the capacitors make sure that the electricity that runs through these circuits is consistent. If the electricity is not consistent, the electronics will not operate properly. They

may not function, stop working, or damage other parts of the product.

A computer or a smartphone contains hundreds of capacitors. So do televisions and video game controllers and so do cars. Capacitors are even in medical devices that save people's lives. The conspiracy in this case involved aluminum, film and tantalum capacitors. Aluminum and tantalum capacitors are together called electrolytic capacitors.

Some companies make one type of capacitor but not the others. Some made two. Some made all three. Every single defendant that participated in the conspiracy made one or more of these capacitors.

Now, there's another type of capacitor called a ceramic capacitor, and in certain limited ways ceramic capacitors can be a substitute for aluminum, film or tantalum capacitors.

Now, ceramic capacitors were first introduced in the 1990's. And when ceramic capacitors were first introduced, the conspirators got together to discuss how competition from ceramic capacitors might reduce the prices of aluminum, film, and tantalum capacitors. And so the popularity of ceramic capacitors gave the defendants in this case another reason to conspire.

Competition from ceramic capacitors made them eager to get together and risk violating the law to stop the -- to shore up their profits, to shore up their profits in an increasing

1 | market with ceramic capacitors.

Now, I showed you a minute ago a chart which showed who was involved in the conspiracy.

Now, "conspiracy" is another word for agreement or understanding to act together to achieve an illegal purpose.

It's a kind of partnership between persons or corporations to do something against the law.

I will generally use the word "conspiracy," but that's what I mean. And we will prove to you that these companies formed and joined a conspiracy to control capacitor prices my clients purchased.

As I mentioned a few minutes ago, the first proof of the conspiracy that we will show you is what the defendants admitted when they pled guilty. The judge just instructed you as to this.

Now, the defendants are going to tell you that the conspiracy was limited or narrow. Well, the judge just instructed you what the defendants say is not true.

So we're clear. Let me -- let me show you -- next, please.

Let me show you a portion of what was read to you. This is the portion that refers to NCC. These are some of the key parts regarding -- key parts from NCC regarding NCC's criminal conviction for violating the U.S. antitrust laws. NCC is sitting here.

1 It says (as read):

During the relevant period, NCC, through its officers and employees, including high-level personnel of NCC, participated in a conspiracy among manufacturers of electrolytic capacitors" --

They admit the company, through its high-level personnel, participated in the conspiracy.

And then it says (as read):

-- "the primary purpose of which was to fix prices and rig bids of certain electrolytic capacitors manufactured outside of the United States and sold in the United States and elsewhere."

That's what they did, and that's why we're here today.

And the instruction for Matsuo is virtually the same.

And, again, these are not the only two. As you heard, ten companies admitted they fixed prices and violated the United States antitrust laws.

And you will learn from the evidence in the case that the defendants met and communicated with each other many times. There are hundreds and hundreds of these communications and meetings. There are contemporaneous records of the meetings, the materials exchanged in preparation of the meetings, the notes of the meetings and other communications, and documents confirming who did what as a result of these communications.

From these records, there is no doubt that the

communications took place in person and via email or by telephone. Some occurred in the United States, even here in San Francisco. Many of the meetings took place in Asia, including Japan, Singapore, Hong Kong and Taiwan, because that's where the persons involved in the conspiracies -- in the conspiracy were located.

Each of the companies that pled guilty -- stop that.

Each of the companies pleading guilty had significant operations in Asia. All the defendants did business in the United States and are bound by U.S. laws.

You will learn that these communications included meetings that were attended by the CEOs. They were attended by the managers, salesmen of the Japanese-based companies. The people who went to these meetings went because it was part of their job, part of their assignment. They represented their companies at these meetings. The companies paid for the trips.

And when these employees reported to the other participants in the conspiracy or reached agreements with them, they were doing so on behalf of the companies they represented. After all, it takes hundreds of people, hundreds of people to implement a conspiracy that lasted 12 years and involved 22 companies. And we are here today because of two: Chemi-Con and Matsuo.

So what I'm showing you is a video of a list of meetings and other communications between the defendants. And where did

we get these communications from? The defendants produced millions of documents during the span of this litigation. Some were in English. Many were in Japanese. We looked through these documents and identified all the instances of communication between the defendants.

You can stop.

These are the only meetings and communications that we know about. Of course, there may have been other contacts which we don't know about because the records of them were destroyed.

Each line is an instance of communication. Some are in-person meetings of groups of defendants. Some were one-on-one meetings in coffee shops or bars or other places. Some are telephone calls. Some are emails. We know each one of them happened because we found a record of it.

This also shows the date and which companies were there.

And what's the red? It's a meeting where one of the companies that pled guilty attended. This is a lot. They happened continuously for years.

And by communicating with other members, the participants actively participated in the conspiracy. They demonstrated their commitment to its common goal and purposes, but these communications occurred not only in the monthly meetings but in more discrete ways. Sometimes they met in bars.

The Japanese have a word "izakaya" for the kind of pub or

restaurant where Japanese businessmen go after work to eat and drink and pal around. They happened in coffee shops or on the telephone or on the golf course.

But the defendants did agree -- you can take this down.

The defendants did agree, as attendance at regularly monthly meetings shows, to meet and exchange information about capacitors, and the information exchanged was confidential and not public.

And when the conspirators agreed to provide this information, they did it knowing from years of participation in the conspiracy that when they provided information, that information would be provided in return; that it would go both ways. In other words, when the companies gave information to their competitors, they knew that their competitors would provide similar information back to them. That was part of the deal.

And from the type of information exchanged, you will know that there was an agreement and there was an understanding.

There was something of value being exchanged and something of value being received. That shows a meeting of the minds.

Now, one key way you will see, as I mentioned, is the competitors getting together to provide each other and share their confidential information. Competitors do not give up that sort of information unless they know they were going to get some in return. There's no give without get.

You will learn that one benefit of participating in the conspiracy was receiving information in exchange for that they provided.

Some of the group meetings in Japan and Asia were attended by companies that manufactured mostly aluminum and tantalum capacitors, but also film capacitors. Other meetings were held by companies that made mostly film capacitors, but also aluminum capacitors. But all of these discussions concerned capacitors sold in the United States. Other meetings occurred between companies that made all three types.

You will learn that even though different representatives attended different meetings and that some companies went to some meetings and not the others, or that discussions of the meetings changed depending on what was going on in the marketplace. They shared many of the same features and subjects.

You will learn that discussions focused on large companies who bought huge capacitors -- huge quantities of capacitors from the defendants. Some you will recognize, like Intel or HP, but also large customers or companies that manufactured for large American companies, like Apple. These companies had their factories in Japan, but also in Taiwan or Singapore or other places in Asia.

Now, as you will learn, during the 12-year period of the conspiracy, there were about 1,800 capacitor purchasers in the

United States, and it was impractical and unnecessary for the defendants to have a discussion about each and every one of them. So the conspirators focused on the big companies.

They did that because the big customers were usually the strongest and the largest. And because they were the strongest and the largest, they could try to use that fact -- use the fact that they made huge purchases to try to negotiate a lower price, the best price in the purchaser's point of view. And defendants were able to agree with one another with respect to them, and the prices for the smaller companies followed.

So that's why you will learn that the discussions of the manufacturers, the conspirators, focused on the large customers.

Now, I showed you the instruction regarding Nippon
Chemi-Con a few moments ago. I want to talk about Nippon
Chemi-Con. NCC is a big Japanese company with worldwide
operations. It is a billion-dollar public company. Its stock
is traded on the Tokyo Stock Exchange.

Sometimes you will see that they call themselves

Chemi-con, as you will see from the documents in this case.

Sometimes you will see the abbreviation NCC. "N" stands for

Nippon, which is the Japanese word for Japan. It comes from

the Japanese "where the sun originates," and that's why some

people call Japan "the land of the rising sun."

Now, Chemi-con is the largest capacitor company in the

world. Their headquarters are in Tokyo, Japan, but they also had and have operations in the United States and in Europe.

Chemi-con makes all three types of capacitors: Aluminum, film and tantalum. Its executives and other representatives attended meetings to exchange information about each of the three types of capacitors, and they were one of the chief organizers of the conspiracy. They were the biggest and the most important. The conspiracy could not have happened without them.

You will also learn that they were ring leaders of the conspiracy. They were enforcers keeping others in line. They enforced the cartel agreements. They bullied and intimidated those who resisted or refused to participate in some cartel activities.

Chemi-con sold capacitors in the United States through their United States company, United Chemi-Con. They replaced the Nippon, for Japan, with the "U," for USA, for the American version of the company.

Now, NCC fully owns UCC and calls all the shots. UCC sold capacitors manufactured by NCC in Asia to U.S. customers. They also sold capacitors that UCC made at their factory in North Carolina.

UCC is what we call a wholly-owned subsidiary of NCC. NCC owns UCC totally. Everything. Lock, stock and barrel. And the money UCC makes NCC keeps for itself. That's what

"wholly-owned subsidiary" means.

That's why when we filed this lawsuit, we brought the case against the company in Japan, but also the company in the United States through which the Japanese executed their plans in the United States.

The executives, the bosses at NCC, were Japanese. Many of them held senior positions at both the Japanese company, NCC, and the American company, UCC, but the two companies operated together in an integrated fashion as one unit run from Japan or by Japanese sent to the United States.

You will see emails in this case from a man named Noriaki Kakizaki. His photo is on the screen. Mr. Kakizaki was a long-time executive with the Chemi-con organization. He worked for the company based in Japan, NCC, the company in the United States, UCC, and he also ran ECC, the European company.

Next slide, please.

Now, Mr. Kakizaki spent years at the company rising up through its ranks. After Hong Kong and Singapore, he went -- and Europe, he went back to Illinois, where he was the head of UCC in the United States. And afterwards he went back to Japan, where he was a member of the Board of Directors of the company and reported directly to the CEO of NCC, the number one guy.

Next slide, please.

All together the company was organized and run as a global

enterprise, run by the Japanese bosses. The different companies communicated with each other frequently. In particular, they communicated with each other about how they could raise prices and charge their customers more. They let each other know about their communications with the other members of the conspiracy. Mr. Kakizaki was one of those chiefly responsible.

And remember the guilty plea. It says NCC did this through its top executives. And as you will learn, when Mr. Kakizaki was in charge of UCC in the United States, he made decisions regarding prices based on what he knew and learned about what meetings were happening in Japan with other members of the conspiracy.

UCC was a company run by Japanese bosses which employed Americans as administrative staff and lower-level personnel. The Americans were not insiders. They had little management authority and, indeed, were likely only told a portion of the truth.

Now, the Americans may testify that they had no idea that there was a conspiracy, but the Americans weren't in charge of the business. UCC was a Japanese-run business. They might try to tell you that UCC was separate, but the evidence will show that's not true; and when you hear that evidence, you should consider whether they're telling you the truth.

Mr. Kakizaki is a key witness, and if he were here, you

could judge with your own ears and with your own eyes whether
he's telling you the truth or not; but it doesn't appear that
he's going to show up, or that NCC or UCC will put up any
witnesses who participated in the conspiracy and can tell you
what they did or try to explain it.

NCC won't take responsibility itself and will instead put up some of the Americans, but the evidence will show that Mr. Kakizaki and many others were in direct contact with those who were participating in the conspiracy meetings, and Kakizaki had his own contacts with other chief executives.

Let me show you another example of a meeting. This was written by Norio Kasuga, the sales representative I talked to you about, who will testify at the trial.

And as I showed you, Rubycon was one of the companies who pled guilty. And I'm showing you the Japanese version of the document. It was written in Japanese.

And now let me show you the English.

Let me just go back for a second. Let me point out a couple things. First, this is who attended the meetings.

"C" is Chemi-con. "E" is ELNA. "N" is Nichicon. "S" is Sanyo. "F" is Fujitsu. "R" is Rubycon. "M" is Matsushita, which is what Panasonic was called at the time.

Next slide, please.

This is a meeting of the working-level people involved in the conspiracy and when they met, one of the targets of the

1 customers was a company called Foxconn. That's Company F.

Foxconn is a company that manufactures products for Apple and other companies in the United States.

Another target was Company I, which is Intel, based in Santa Clara. You will see that the meeting also referred to HP, Hewlett Packard, Dell and IBM. And these are the large customers I described a few minutes ago.

And so at this time new contracts were coming up. And in the past the customers had driven a hard bargain, and the capacitor companies had competed with one another and prices went down, and the companies wanted to prevent that.

So what did they do? This summarizes the agreements. It says that the parties agree not to -- next page, please. Let's just skip.

It says that the parties agreed not to negotiate any prices less than 2 percent lower than the current price for all customers big and small.

This means when a company like Foxconn set to lower prices, the defendants agreed that they would fix the discount at a maximum of 2 percent. This is an agreement to fix, maintain and stabilize prices. It violates the U.S. antitrust laws. And it says "All companies agreed." If that's not price fixing, I don't know what is.

And, also, at this meeting Rubycon made a proposal for fixing the price of a new product they would bring into the

market, and they talked about the prices that the companies 1 would sell at and the plan that Rubycon had. 2 And, again, Mr. Kasuga wrote (as read): 3 "All companies agreed to Rubycon's plan." 4 5 Again, this is clear as day. And meetings like this happened again and again and 6 continued throughout the entire period of the conspiracy. 7 And I only have a limited time right now, but at the 8 meetings the companies also discussed other products, and let 9 me show you that. 10 11 This is an example of the pre-printed form that defendants exchanged at their meetings. This is the one for this meeting 12 from Chemi-con, and it happened in December of 2012. You can 13 see who else was at the meeting. 14 15 Go back. 16 Chemi-con, ELNA, Matsuo, NEC, Rubycon, and Holy Stone. And there was a discussion of prices. And they -- at this 17 meeting they not only -- they reported their past quantities, 18 19 but also their amount, which is revenue. From that you can easily calculate the prices. 20 And as you can see, the meeting was in December of 2012. 21 And so they reported information for January and February in 22 the second half of -- well, they reported information for 23 January and February. That's future. So they are disclosing 24 what their future plans are for sales and for prices. 25

Now, there were other meetings involving the U.S.-based companies. Many of those meetings happened in Japan as well, but they also happened throughout the world, including in San Francisco.

The meetings between AVX and KEMET, which were based in the United States, happened in the United States and in Mexico, but also in Asia and Europe. Because the companies were largely American, they took place in English. And also AVX met with employees of the Japanese companies in Japan, so places like London or Paris.

There were also meetings in Taiwan, where KEMET and many of the Japanese-based companies had offices, and the companies frequently met there and discussed prices.

Nonetheless, information from AVX and KEMET was discussed and exchanged in Japan with the Japanese. How did that happen? Some companies served as a link between the Americans and the Japanese.

NEC TOKIN was a Japanese company that had a strong relationship with AVX and KEMET. And there was an individual at NEC TOKIN, his name was Mr. Date, and he took information form the Americas back to the Japanese for many years. He also communicated information from the Japanese meetings to AVX and KEMET.

Now, Mr. Date won't come to the United States. He won't testify. But you'll hear from Mr. Sato, who worked for

Mr. Date for a number of years, and he will testify that AVX contacted NEC TOKIN to get NEC TOKIN to raise prices.

Next slide, please.

Now, AVX had an executive named William King, who went to Japan sometime, and he went to a meeting in Japan with Mr. Date.

Next slide, please.

That's Mr. King and a representative from Hitachi. And then when he went back to the company, AVX in South Carolina where he worked, he reported on the meeting. And what did he call this meeting? The Tantalum Mafia.

Now, "Mafia" is another word for an organized organization, group, to commit a crime. Imagine being part of an organization that calls itself the Tantalum Mafia.

So -- you can put that down.

So the last step will be our proof of the damages caused. You will hear from an expert named Dr. Jim McClave, and he's an expert statistician and, in particular, the kind of statistics called econometrics. Econometrics is necessary to calculate damages in an antitrust case.

Now, Dr. McClave is an expert statistician, and he literally wrote the book on this subject. And using his expertise in statistics and econometrics, Dr. McClave analyzed the data showing each capacitor's sales transaction in the United States that was available from the defendants. He used

it to determine that the defendants elevated prices of capacitors and he determined how much they charged as a result.

Dr. McClave will show you that the defendants elevated the prices for aluminum, film and tantalum capacitors for 12 years. He did that based on a review of 7 million transactions which showed who sold what capacitors to whom and at what price.

Now, defendants say he just put everything in a blender and mixed it. He didn't put anything in a blender. That's ridiculous. He took all the data from the defendants and analyzed it.

As a statistician, he built something called a multiple regression analysis, which is what statisticians do. And with this model, which he checked and double checked, he found that the conspiracy raised capacitor prices higher than they would have been if the conspiracy hadn't happened.

Dr. McClave will show you and explain to you how he built his model and how he tested his model. And after building his model and testing it for accuracy, Dr. McClave measured the amount that some of my clients who purchased the capacitors from defendants were overcharged, and he calculated for each type of capacitor for aluminum, film, and tantalum.

Now, you may hear from the other side's experts, and they criticize Dr. McClave; but Dr. McClave listened to that criticism, and he tested his analysis based on that criticism.

And when he did it, that test confirmed his analysis yet again.

You will learn, as I mentioned a few minutes ago, that my clients purchased billions of dollars of capacitors during the class period, the period of time of the conspiracy.

You will also learn the calculations that Dr. McClave did.

And there was some complexity to the statistics, but the math
is quite simple really.

First he figured out what the defendants charged for the capacitors during the period of the conspiracy, and then he figured out what the price would have been had there not been a conspiracy. And when you compare those two and you calculate the difference, that shows you how much my clients were overcharged, how much they paid if there had not been a conspiracy.

THE COURT: Mr. Saveri, you're running into overtime.

MR. SAVERI: I'm just winding up.

THE COURT: You can wrap it up, but be quick, please.

MR. SAVERI: If you would put up the last slide,

please.

And this shows that calculation. When you add them all up for aluminum, film and tantalum, you get \$427 million -- excuse me, \$427,530,613. That's the amount that the defendants overcharged their customers during the 12-year period of the conspiracy, and we will prove to you that the defendants overcharged plaintiffs that amount.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your time. We are

```
going to prove that the defendants, together with their
 1
     co-conspirators, conspired to fix the prices of capacitors in
 2
     the United States. They did that by agreeing to exchange
 3
     confidential information regarding prices. They did that so
 4
 5
     that other companies would know their intentions. They did it
     to coordinate their activities and to avoid companies reducing
 6
 7
    prices.
              They did it to keep their profits high and make sure
     that customers paid more than they should have, and plaintiffs'
 8
     money damages will fix that consequence of the harm to the
 9
10
    plaintiffs.
          At the end of the trial --
11
               THE COURT: Mr. Saveri, 30 seconds, please.
12
               MR. SAVERI: At the end of the case, I will have
13
     another opportunity to speak to you, and at the time we are
14
15
     going to ask you for a verdict on behalf of plaintiffs.
16
     427 million are warranted in this case, and we will ask you for
17
     a verdict in that amount.
18
          Thank you very much.
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Stretch for a minute, and then
19
20
     we'll hear from the defendants.
21
          (Brief pause.)
22
                           Who is starting for the defendants?
               THE COURT:
23
               MR. FINZI:
                           That's me, Your Honor, NCC.
    proceed, Your Honor?
24
25
               THE COURT: Of course, please.
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Llelia X. Pad

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR
Monday, November 29, 2021